

For the special case of  $h = k = 0$  this reduces to

$$I = 2\Sigma + 4 \sum_{i \neq j}^{\frac{1}{2}N} \mathbf{f}_i \mathbf{f}_j^* \cos 2\pi l(z_i - z_j), \quad (2)$$

and for  $l = 0$  to

$$I = \Sigma + 2 \sum_i^{\frac{1}{2}N} f_i^2 \cos 4\pi(hx_i + ky_i) + 4 \sum_{i \neq j}^{\frac{1}{2}N} \mathbf{f}_i \mathbf{f}_j^* \cos 2\pi(hx_i + ky_i) \cos 2\pi(hx_j + ky_j). \quad (3)$$

The average value of the first (constant) term in these equations is, of course, always  $\Sigma$  or  $2\Sigma$ , however the averaging is done. The values of the trigonometric terms require detailed consideration. In the general case of  $h, k, l$  non-zero, the integral of the trigonometric terms over the entire unit cell is zero, and the  $z$  integral in the final term is zero even in the interior of the excluded cylinders. The middle term, however, is finite within the cylinders, and it has in fact the same value for each, since  $\cos 4\pi(hx + ky)$  is unaffected by the translations  $\frac{1}{2}0$  etc. Changing to cylindrical polar coordinates gives

$$\int_0^a \int_0^{2\pi} \cos(4\pi sr \cos \varphi) r dr d\varphi = (a/2s) J_1(4\pi sa), \quad (4)$$

where  $J_s$  is the ordinary first-order Bessel function. Equation (1) then gives for the expected value of the intensity

$$E(I) = \Sigma[1 - 2aJ_1(4\pi sa)/(C - 4\pi a^2)s], \quad (5)$$

the integral having the same value for every atom. This corresponds to the first and third terms of Nigam's equation

(7), the middle one having been introduced by his method of approximation.

In the special case of  $l$  equal to zero there are additional terms arising from the double sum. Both the  $i$ th and the  $j$ th atom produce a factor like equation (4) but with  $2\pi sa$  instead of  $4\pi sa$ . Also, the translations  $0\frac{1}{2}$  etc. produce a change of sign unless  $h$  and  $k$  are even, so the contributions from the four cylinders cancel out for  $h$  or  $k$  or both odd.

For  $l = 0, h$  and  $k$  even, therefore,

$$E(I) = \Sigma[1 - 2aJ_1(4\pi sa)/(C - 4\pi a^2)s] - 16[(\Phi - 2\Sigma)a^2 J_1^2(2\pi sa)/(C - 4\pi a^2)^2 s^2], \quad (6)$$

where

$$\Phi = \left| \sum_i \mathbf{f}_i \right|^2. \quad (7)$$

For  $h$  or  $k$  or both odd, equation (5) holds even for  $l = 0$ . For  $h = k = 0$

$$E(I) = 2\Sigma \quad (8)$$

for all values of  $l$ .

AJCW is indebted to The Royal Society and the Indian National Science Academy for supporting an exchange visit to India, and to Professor G. B. Mitra for the specific invitation to Kharagpur.

#### References

- NIGAM, G. D. (1972). *Indian J. Pure Appl. Phys.* **10**, 655–656.  
 WILSON, A. J. C. (1949). *Acta Cryst.* **2**, 318–321.  
 WILSON, A. J. C. (1964). *Acta Cryst.* **17**, 1591–1592.

*Acta Cryst.* (1980). A**36**, 833

**Über den Zusammenhang zwischen der mittleren quadratischen Auslenkung  $\langle u^2 \rangle$  der Atome im Kristallgitter und der spezifischen Wärme  $c_v$  für Zink. Erratum.** Von ELISABETH ROSSMANITH, *Mineralogisch-Petrographisches Institut der Universität Hamburg, 2000 Hamburg 13, Grindelallee 48, Bundesrepublik Deutschland*

(Eingegangen am 16. Juli 1980)

#### Abstract

Four errors should be corrected in Rossmanith (*Acta Cryst.* (1980). A**36**, 416–420). In Fig. 1 the lowest curve (of the curves labelled 3) should be labelled  $T = 100$  K (not 300 K). In the penultimate line of the caption to Fig. 2 the reference should be to equation (6), rather than to equation (5), while in the caption to Fig. 4 the calculations for line 5 and points ● should refer to equation (5), rather than to equation (6). In

equation (5) the solidus and the letter  $m$  should be transposed:

$$\langle u^2(T) \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{800} W(u)_{\omega_n} g(\omega_n) / m \sum_{n=0}^{800} g(\omega_n). \quad (5)$$

Die gesamte Information ist im *Abstract* enthalten.

0567-7394/80/050833-01\$01.00

© 1980 International Union of Crystallography